



PO Box 3393,
Port Elizabeth, 6056
South Africa

Email: muftis@themajlis.co.za
[The Majlis](http://TheMajlis.co.za)

17 Jamaadith Thaani 1444 – 10 January 2023

Part one
THE KNB (KUFR NIKAH BILL)

GANIEF HENDRICK'S DESPERATE CLUTCHING AT STRAWS

In his undated essay desperately issued to vindicate his KUFR 'nikah' bill, this miserable Faasiq proffered a muddle of issues as red herrings to detract from the central issue of argument, viz., the kufr of his stupid 'nikah' bill. It is a strategy of men of *baatil* (falsehood) and *kufr* to invariably attempt to detract from the main topic with red herrings and issues which have no relationship to the rubbish they are peddling and which is under scrutiny and attack. In this case the rubbish KNB is under Shar'i scrutiny and attack. Having absolutely not even a vestige of Shar'i substance to substantiate the claimed 'validity' and 'shariah compliance' of his kufr bill, Ganief, in his silly essay, meanders from one unrelated issue to another in the attempt to kick up as much dust as possible to act as a smokescreen for his kufr bill. But he will not succeed.

It devolves on this chap to prove that his kufr bill is compliant with the Shariah, and it devolves on him as an incumbent obligation to present his evidence for bolstering his glaringly false claims, namely:

- (1) That Shariah Law has been recognized by the authorities
- (2) That the Shariah consequences of Nikah are henceforth applicable to Muslim marriages.
- (3) That *The Majlis* had submitted a kufr marriage bill to parliament.

No amount of ducking and diving will succeed to extricate this faasiq from the kufr mess in which he has become stagnated and in fact sinking. His stupid splurge on a parliament letter head does not awe any Muslim. Him being a so-called 'honourable'

member of kuffaar parliament does not cancel his Fisq status slapped on him by the Shariah of Allah Ta'ala.

His essay of vindication is a stupid piece of pedantry of a splenetic chap. With much hyperbole and falsehood in his essay, based on tearing issues out of their context and presenting tit-bits, he expects Muslims to swallow the twaddle he has disgorged. But even moron Muslims want to know: ***How and when did the government recognize Shariah Law? This lost soul needs to present specific specimens of government recognition of Shariah Law. He is duty-bound, if he really believes himself to be an 'honourable' fellow, to apprize the Muslim community of the acceptance by the government or by the constitutional court of the Shariah consequences of Muslim marriages.***

Now, after the aforementioned introduction, let us dissect the rubbish in his essay. In his narrative of dissonance, Hendricks avers:

"MUSA differed in their approach regarding the process and issues related to the MMB."

Firstly, MUSA's (Mujlisul Ulama of S.A.'s) 'approach' regarding MMB (the now decomposing 'muslim marriages bill') is totally unrelated to the current KNB - Ganief's Kufr Nikah Bill. Whatever was the 'approach' of MUSA to the now dead MMB, does not in any way whatsoever provide Shariah substance for Ganief's kufr bill. The kufr in his bill remains stinking kufr regardless of MUSA's stance germane to MMB. That stance does not confer any Shariah validity to KNB of Hendricks.

Secondly, no one was so vehemently opposed to MMB as MUSA. MUSA was the most vigorous anti-MMB campaigner. Conceding this fact, Hendricks says: *"MUSA was among the first that took up the fight against the Muslim Marriages Bill."*

This fight has no relevance to the current KNB of Ganief. It has been presented as a stupid red herring. Its presentation lacks intelligence and displays cognitive dissonance.

Thirdly, the averment of MUSA's 'differing approach', is misleading. What was this 'approach'? How did it differ from the stances of others? The reality is that MUSA was totally averse to the KUFR MMB just as it is averse to Ganief's KNB. And this is neither a secret nor is there any ambiguity or conundrum in it.

Mr.Hendricks says:

"Since there was an invitation for the public to make their input, MUSA was in the forefront handing in its submission."

This devious statement is designed to convey the LIE that MUSA had submitted its own marriage bill. There was nothing of the sort. Ganief Faasiq must spell out what

exactly was the 'submission' of MUSA, and how does that hallucinated 'submission' support his KNB?

He states:

"Prior to MUSA's submission, the deadline was during the festive season and it requested – and successfully so - an extension after Mufti A.S. Desai intervention; the latter got President Zuma to force the Department of Home Affairs to agree to a settlement."

What was the objective for requesting an 'extension'? Hendricks like all politicians, are bereft of principles, hence he presents a piece of the issue. The purpose for requesting an 'extension' was to enable us and numerous Muslim organizations and individuals to lodge their objections against the kufr MMB, just as we are presently requesting an extension to enable the Muslim community to protest and object against Al Jahannam's KNB. However, Hendricks with his partial citation, without its context, seeks to convey the false impression that the extension was sought to enable MUSA to submit a marriage bill. But this idea is utterly baseless.

Hendricks, presenting another red herring states:

"All respondents which included the mainstream 'Ulama bodies and MUSA agreed on the settlement that the AL JAMA-AH political Party be consulted by governance on legislation relation to Muslim Marriages; they signed a settlement agreement to this effect. For the record, I – as Al JAMA-Ah's leader - was singled out by MUSA advocate as playing an important role during five days of litigation. Its message stated: "Mufti A.S. Desai has asked me to thank you for your role. Mufti says you will go straight to Jannat (paradise)"."

We demand that Al Jahannam Party makes public the signed statement and the 'settlement' mentioned above. Even if what this fellow has mentioned is factual, it has absolutely no relevance to his KNB to which we are objecting and demanding it to be scrapped.

At this juncture it will be appropriate to explain something of the background of our earlier cordial relationship with this Faasiq. The agreement with him was that he should not become a party to the law-making process. Since all laws and law-making of the kuffaar are kufr, it is haraam for Muslims to participate in such law-making. Hendricks had fully agreed to this insistence whilst he was not a member of parliament. Now that he finds himself in parliament by the grace of the ANC, he has become a bit too big for his boots. Despite having been apprized of the kufr nature of his bill, and despite the Ulama being averse to it, he satanically persists in his haraam submission for the sake of cheap name and fame.

Whatever cordial relationship we had with this chap years ago, does not detract from the kufr of his KNB. He has proffered this bit of stupidity to detract from the actual subject, viz., the kufr of his marriage bill. Our discussion pertains to KNB, not to what our relationship was with him years ago.

Hendricks says:

"For years, I played a positive leadership role in the MJC's MajlisushShura Al Islami while it was part of the MJC for more than ten years. The late MJC's

Sheikh Omar Gabier considered me as one of their protégés as I was given an EXCO position when I became President of the Muslim Students Association of South Africa at a relatively very young age."

His 'leadership role' as mentioned in the silly averment, has no relationship with his stercoracious Kufr nikah bill. We are not discussing his 'relationship role' with the MJC, etc. The issue of topical and Islamic importance is the kufr bill of Hendricks. You having been the president at a young age of another kufr body does not vindicate your kufr bill in any way.

The work you are doing today in your old age, with your one foot already deep in the Qabar, is not "good work" as you allege and believe. It is KUFR. Open your ears and brains to understand that it is KUFR which is your ticket to Jahannam, not to paradise as you allege we had assured you of.

Mr.Hendricks asks:

"...the questions that come to mind are: Why persist in vilifying Muslim groups and organizations that hold a different opinion on issues?"

The vilification is the consequence of persistence in kufr, fisq and fujoor. Prior to the vilification, you were respectfully warned to withdraw your kufr bill. But, you, a layman lacking totally in Shariah Law, most intransigently clung to your kufr. In view of your insistence to ply your kufr trade, the process of vilification has become a Qur'aanic imperative. The objective is to expurgate your *aqal* from the vermiculation of kufr so that your seat in Paradise is assured. However, in view of your indurate obstinacy to peddle kufr and to pass it off as 'Shariah Compliant', it has become incumbent to excoriate you by means of the Qur'aanic style of 'vilification'.

Your abhorrent adherence to promoting kufr as earned your party the epithet of *Al Jahannam*. Mr.Ganief hasten to make Taubah. First scrap the kufr bill. Seek forgiveness from Allah Ta'ala. If you fail in this respect, your doom in Jahannam is confirmed. Remember, that *Maut* is not a distant event, especially for the likes you and ourselves with our legs in the Quboor.

TO BE CONTINUED IN PART TWO